

Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Special Action

CV-26-0185-SA

JOSE FRANCESCO VASQUEZ v STATE OF ARIZONA

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 17-Jun-2026 Archive on: 26-Jun-2036 (planned)
Case Closed: 26-Jun-2026

Dept/Composition

Side 1. JOSE FRANCESCO VASQUEZ, Petitioner

(Litigant Group) JOSE FRANCESCO VASQUEZ

- Jose Francesco Vasquez

Side 2. STATE OF ARIZONA, Respondent

(Litigant Group) STATE OF ARIZONA

- State of Arizona

Attorneys for: Respondent

Ethan A Wolfinger, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 6598)

CASE STATUS

Jun 26, 2026....Case Closed

Jun 26, 2026....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CV 26-0490					
YAV	V1300CR202080702 Bond Forfeiture		Michael R Bluff, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

26-Jun-2026 ORDER

* On June 18, 2026, Petitioner Vasquez, pro se, filed a Petition for Special Action and an Emergency Motion to Extend Stay of Appeal, Vacate Conflicted Trial Court Deadlines, and Compel a Faretta Hearing Due to Complete Breakdown of Counsel and Unauthoriz

Filed: 26-Jun-2026

Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Declined

John Lopez

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1.	17-Jun-2026	FILED: Petition for Special Action; Certificate Of Compliance; Coversheet; Exhibits A-E (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)
2.	17-Jun-2026	FILED: Order Denying Emergency Motion for Stay (Filed in CofA1 6/11/2026); Order Denying Motion For Reconsideration of Stay Motion; Order RE Notice of Expedited Consideration (Filed in CofA1 6/16/2026); Appellate Order Regarding Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees (Filed in CofA1 6/10/2026) (Petitioner Vasquez Pro Se)
3.	17-Jun-2026	FILED: (Duplicate)Appellate Order Regarding Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees (Filed in CofA1 6/10/2026) (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)
4.	17-Jun-2026	FILED: (Screenshot of) TurboCourt Page (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)
5.	18-Jun-2026	FILED: Emergency Motion to Extend Stay of Appeal, Vacate Conflicted Trial Court Deadlines, and Compel a Faretta Hearing Due to Complete Breakdown of Counsel and Unauthorized Retention of Funds; Certificate of Service; Order of No Action Taken (Filed YavCSC 6/9/2026); Order of No Action Taken (Filed YavCSC 8/13/2025) (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)
6.	18-Jun-2026	FILED: Appellate Order Regarding Deferral or Waiver of Court Fees (Filed CofA 6/10/2026); Second Notice of No Action Taken (Filed YavCSC 9/2/2025) (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)
7.	19-Jun-2026	FILED: Supplemental Notice Regarding Division One's June 17, 2026 Order, Lack of Transmission to the Trial Court, and Resulting Jurisdictional Conflict; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)

Arizona Supreme Court

Civil Special Action

CV-26-0185-SA

JOSE FRANCESCO VASQUEZ v STATE OF ARIZONA

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

8. 26-Jun-2026 On June 18, 2026, Petitioner Vasquez, pro se, filed a Petition for Special Action and an Emergency Motion to Extend Stay of Appeal, Vacate Conflicted Trial Court Deadlines, and Compel a Faretta Hearing Due to Complete Breakdown of Counsel and Unauthorized Retention of Funds ("Emergency Motion").
- On April 11, 2026, Petitioner filed a Petition for Review challenging the Court of Appeals' April 6, 2026 Order declining special action jurisdiction. Vasquez v. State, CV-26-0115-PR. Petitioner filed a separate Motion to Stay Enforcement Pending Review. This Court entered an order denying review without prejudice and denying the request for stay as moot, advising that "[p]etitioner may challenge any appealable order by appeal, see Ariz. R. Crim. P. Rule 31.1 et seq., or seek special action relief of a non-appealable order, see Ariz. R.P. Spec. Act. 11, et seq."
- On June 11, 2026, in a separate Court of Appeals' proceeding, the court denied Petitioner's Emergency Motion for Stay of Forfeiture Pending Appeal without prejudice, noting that a motion to stay must first be made in the superior court, and in this case it was not clear whether Petitioner had done so and whether the superior court had ruled on the request. Petitioner filed a Motion for Reconsideration, and the Court of Appeals entered an Order Re: Motion for Reconsideration/Motion to Stay, which denied the motion for stay, pointing out that a party seeking a stay must establish the four elements of a stay, Smith v. Ariz. Citizens Clean Elections, 212 Ariz. 407, 410 §§ 9-10 (2006).
- Petitioner's Emergency Motion does not meet the requirements for a stay. "A petitioner must file an appendix that includes a copy of the decision being challenged and copies of all documents from the trial court's record the reviewing court will need to decide the issues the petition raises. Any references to the record appearing in the petition must be supported by a document in the appendix, and the document's location in the appendix must be identified by page number." Ariz. R.P. Spec. Act. 13(e)(1).
- A panel consisting of Vice Chief Justice Lopez and Justices Montgomery, King, and Cruz having considered the matter,
- IT IS ORDERED declining special action jurisdiction.
- IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying the Emergency Motion as moot. (Hon. John R Lopez IV)
-
9. 6-Jul-2026 FILED: Motion to Correct Clerical Error in Case Index; Certificate of Service (Petitioner Vasquez, Pro Se)
10. 8-Jul-2026 On July 6, 2026, Petitioner Vasquez filed a "Motion to Correct Clerical Error in Case Index" requesting the Court update a clerical error in Petitioner's name on the case docket. Therefore,
- IT IS ORDERED granting the motion. The case docket will reflect Petitioner's name as "Jose Francesco Vasquez." (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
-